

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/345,820	06/30/1999	LYNN Y. SHIMADA	13065.36.1.1	1703		
21999	7590 03/09/2006		EXAMINER			
KIRTON A	ND MCCONKIE	COLBERT, ELLA				
1800 EAGLE	GATE TOWER					
60 EAST SO	UTH TEMPLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
P O BOX 451	120	3624				
SALT LAKE	CITY, UT 84145-0120					

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/345,820		SHIMADA, LYNN Y.					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		Ella Colbert		3624					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status									
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	1 Sentember 2005							
	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
ا_ارت	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 435 C.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) 10-14 and 17-21 is/are pending in the application.								
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-14 and 17-21</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
· —	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers									
01⊠.	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner							
=	•		iected to by the E	vaminar					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	:(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)									
_	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	E	Paper No(s)/Mail Date		1.450)				
-	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ · No(s)/Mail Date	,	Notice of Informal Pa Other:	nem Application (PTC	<i>i</i> -102)				

Application/Control Number: 09/345,820 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 10-14 and 17-21 are pending in this communication filed 09/21/05 entered as RCE and Amendment.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 09/21/05 has been entered.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because Figure 1, element "12" in the drawing is referenced as "ACCT COTS SW" and the specification references element "12" as "accounting software"; element "24" in the drawing is "ELECTRONIC PAYMENT PROCESS CENTER" and in the Specification element "24" is "processing center"; element "30" in the drawing is "ELECTRONIC TRANSFER" and in the specification element "24" is "electronic transfer process"; and element "36" in the drawing is "DEPOSIT IN VENDOR ACCOUNT" and in the specification element "36' is 'deposit in vendor bank account"; Figure 2 element "28" in the drawing is 'PRINTED CHECKS" and in the specification element "48" in the drawing is "PRINTED CHECKS" and in the specification element "48" in the drawing is "PRINTED CHECKS" and in the specification element "48" is "printer"; and element "60" in the drawing is "ELECTRONIC PAYMENTS" and in the specification element "60" is

"electronic payments file"; Figure 3 element "76" in the drawing is "EXTERNAL" PROCESS" and in the specification element "76" is "guery task" and element "96" in the drawing is LOG BATCH" and in the specification element "96" is "log file". Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Abstract

- 4. The abstract is objected to because the abstract contains over 150 words.
 - Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT

Application/Control Number: 09/345,820

Art Unit: 3624

Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Specification

5. The Specification is objected to because the amendment to the Specification filed 3/12/03 contains the following acronyms: DACEASY, SAP, SQL, and CA and the original Specification on page 9, line 12 contains the acronym MICR and line 26 the acronym EDS; page 11, line 18 contains the acronym DDE and page 12, line 8 contains the acronym DLL. The acronyms should be written as follows, for example: Personal Computer (CPU).

Also, in the Specification, Figure 2, element "50" is not found; Figure 3, elements "82, 84, 86, 90, and 92" are not found; and Figure 4, elements "112" and "114" are not found in the description of these figures.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 10 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 10 and 18 contain conditional statements.

Claim 10 recites "if it is determined at the print data reader that the manner of

effectuating the payment includes an electronic payment file, effectuating the payment by performing the steps of:" but does not recite what happens if it is not determined at the print data reader that the manner of effectuating the payment does not include an electronic payment file, not effectuating the payment by performing the steps of:". Claim 18 has a similar problem. These independent claims 10 and 18 are rejected for the above reason and the dependent claims 11-14, 17, and 19-21 that depend there from.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ganesan (US 6,678,664) disclosed accounts payable and a database.

Brown et al (US 6,532,450) disclosed accounts payable, a vendor, and a database query.

Inquiries

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ella Colbert whose telephone number is 571-272-6741. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday, 6:30AM-4:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 571-272-6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/345,820

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

E. Colbert

Primary Examiner March 4, 2006